

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10597568
Filing Date	2006-07-31
First Named Inventor	Keiichiro OISHI
Art Unit	1742
Examiner Name	Unassigned
Attorney Docket Number	AOYAMA0002

U.S. PATENTS

Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	Issue Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	1					

If you wish to add additional U.S. Patent citation information please click the Add button.

U.S. PATENT APPLICATION PUBLICATIONS

Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code ¹	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	1					

If you wish to add additional U.S. Published Application citation information please click the Add button.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number ³	Country Code ²	Kind Code ⁴	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁵
	1							<input type="checkbox"/>

If you wish to add additional Foreign Patent Document citation information please click the Add button

NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, pages(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ⁵

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10597568
Filing Date	2006-07-31
First Named Inventor	Keiichiro OISHI
Art Unit	1742
Examiner Name	Unassigned
Attorney Docket Number	AOYAMA0002

1	E.O. HALL, The Deformation and Aging of Mild Steel: III Discussion of Results, The Deformation and Ageing of Mild Steel, 1951, pages 747-753.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2	N.J. PETCH, The Cleavage Strength of Polycrystals, Journal of the Iron and Steel Institute, 1953, pages 25-28.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3	M. SADAYAPPAN et al., Fading of Grain Refinement in Leaded Yellow Brass (C85800) and SeBiLOY III (C89550, EnviroBrass III), American Foundry Society, 2001, pages 705-713.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4	D. COUSINEAU et al., Grain Refinement of Permanent Mold Cast Copper-Base Alloys, American Foundry Society, 2002, pages 505-514.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5	J.P. THOMSON et al., Evaluation of Grain Refinement of Leaded Yellow Brass (C85800) and EnviroBrass III (C89550) using Thermal Analysis, American Foundry Society, 2003, pages 417-434.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6	F.A. FASOYINU et al., Effects of Grain Refinement on Hot Tear Resistance and Shrinkage Characteristics of Permanent Mold Cast Yellow Brass (C85800), AFS Transactions, 1998, pages 327-337.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7	M. SADAYAPPAN et al., Fading of Grain Refinement in Permanent Mold Cast Copper Alloys, American Foundry Society, 2004, pages 521-525.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8	Dr. W. REIF et al., A New Grain Refiner for Copper-Zinc Alloys containing 25-42% Zinc, Metall 41. Jahrgang, Heft 11, 1987, pages 1131-1137.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
9	M. SADAYAPPAN et al., Grain Refinement of Copper Base Alloys, Materials Technology Laboratory (CANMET), 1999, pages 279-291.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
10	M. SADAYAPPAN et al., Grain Refinement of Permanent Mold Cast Silicon Brass, Silicon Bronze and Red Brass, AFS Transactions, 1999, pages 337-342.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
11	A. COUTURE et al., Grain Refinement of Sand Cast Bronzes and its Influence on Their Properties, AFS Cast Metals Research Journal, 1973, pages 1-5.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10597568
Filing Date	2006-07-31
First Named Inventor	Keiichiro OISHI
Art Unit	1742
Examiner Name	Unassigned
Attorney Docket Number	AOYAMA0002

12	M. SADAYAPPAN et al., Grain Refinement Studies on Lead and Bi/Se Modified Yellow Brasses, Materials Technology Laboratory/CANMET, pages 45-58.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
13	M. SAHOO, An Overview of ICA-Funded Research and Development at MTL/CANMET, Materials Technology Laboratory, CANMET, pages 1-12.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
14	FERDYNAND ROMANKIEWICZ et al., Effect of grain refinement by zirconium on the morphology of solidification, the feeding capacity and mechanical properties of brass CuZn30 and silicon brass CuZn15S14, Jahrgang, 1978, pages 25-33.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
15	R. MANNHEIM et al., Investigation of grain refinement of tin bronzes by zirconium and/or boron and iron as well as of its effect on mechanical properties, Giessereiforschung 40, 1988, pages 1-16.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
16	O. BUSTOS et al., Study of the combination of cast grain refinement and filtered process on brasses, Rev. Metal Madrid, 1999, pages 222-232.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
17	Dr. W. REIF, Kornfeinung von Aluminium-, Blei-, Zinn-, Kupfer-und Nickellegierungen-ein Überblick, Giesserei 76, 1989, pages 41-46.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
18	F. ROMANKIEWICZ et al., Kornfeinung von Kupferlegierungen, Metall, 48. Jahrgang, Nr. 11/94, pages 865-871.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
19	A. COUTURE et al., Kornfeinung von Kupfer-Sandgußlegierungen und ihr Einfluß auf die Güteeigenschaften, Giesserei-Praxis, 1974, pages 425-435.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

If you wish to add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button

EXAMINER SIGNATURE

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.USPTO.GOV or MPEP 901.04. ² Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ³ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁴ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁵ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10597568
Filing Date	2006-07-31
First Named Inventor	Keiichiro OISHI
Art Unit	1742
Examiner Name	Unassigned
Attorney Docket Number	AOYAMA0002

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

Please see 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 to make the appropriate selection(s):

☐ That each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(1).

OR

☐ That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(2).

☐ See attached certification statement.

☐ Fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 (p) has been submitted herewith.

☒ None

SIGNATURE

A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with CFR 1.33, 10.18. Please see CFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature.

Signature	/Joerg-Uwe Szipl/	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2007-06-05
Name/Print	Joerg-Uwe Szipl	Registration Number	31799

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these records.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.